LOS ANGELES

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

COMES NOW Defendant Abraham Stuart Rubin ("Defendant"), by and through his counsel, Kutak Rock, LLP, and does hereby submit his Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff Richard A. Marshack's, solely in his capacity as the duly appointed and acting Chapter 7 trustee for the bankruptcy estate of Glenroy Coachella, LLC (the "Plaintiff"), Complaint ("Complaint"), and in support thereof states the following:

ANSWER

I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Regarding paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 2. Regarding paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits that Bankruptcy Code § 544 authorizes the Plaintiff to bring this action.
- 3. Regarding paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits that this Court has jurisdiction in that this action relates to the Debtor's pending bankruptcy case. However, Defendant does not consent to the Bankruptcy Court's entry of a final judgment and/or order in this adversary proceeding and does not consent to the issues being tried by a Bankruptcy Judge.
- 4. Regarding paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the content is not an allegation of fact to which a response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. Also, Defendant does not consent to the Bankruptcy Court's entry of a final judgment and/or order in this adversary proceeding and does not consent to the issues being tried by a Bankruptcy Judge.
- 5. Regarding paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits that Venue is properly before the U.S. District Court, Central District of California.
- 6. Regarding paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.

26

27 | ///

///

28 | ///

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

2

3

5 6

8

7

10

9

1112

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

II. PARTIES

- 7. Regarding paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 8. Regarding paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 9. Regarding paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 10. Regarding paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 11. Regarding paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 12. Regarding paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.

III. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Regarding paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 14. Regarding paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 15. Regarding paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 16. Regarding paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

2:23-ap-01080-BB

///

Regarding paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations

Regarding paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the paragraph does not appear to

Regarding paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the paragraph does not appear to

Regarding paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations

Regarding paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the paragraph does not appear to

Regarding paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations

Regarding paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations

Regarding paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient

contain allegations that require a response from Defendant or that relate to the allegations against

contain allegations that require a response from Defendant or that relate to the allegations against

contain allegations that require a response from Defendant or that relate to the allegations against

Defendant. Further, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to

form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the

Defendant. Further, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to

form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the

Defendant. Further, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to

form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the

3

4

17.

contained therein.

18.

allegations.

allegations.

allegations.

22.

contained therein.

23.

contained therein.

24.

therein, and therefore denies the allegations.

20.

contained therein.

21.

19.

9

13

11

14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

///

28

/// KUTAK ROCK LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LOS ANGELES

knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained

25.

therein, and therefore denies the allegations.

6

9

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

therein,	and the	erefore denies the allegations.
,	26.	Regarding paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient
knowled	dge or i	nformation upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained

knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained

Regarding paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient

- 27. Regarding paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 28. Regarding paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 29. Regarding paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.

IV. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Avoidance and Recovery of Intentional Fraudulent Transfers [11 U.S.C. §§ 544, 550; Cal. Civ. Code §§ 3439.04, 3439.07]

- 30. Regarding paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant incorporates by reference his response to Paragraphs 1 through 29, inclusive, of this Answer as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. Regarding paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 32. Regarding paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.

2:23-ap-01080-BB

///

10

13

14

11

17

18 19 20

22 23

21

24 25

26 27

28

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LOS ANGELES

- 33. Regarding paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 34. Regarding paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 35. Regarding paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the paragraph does not appear to contain allegations that require a response from Defendant or that relate to the allegations against Defendant. Further, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 36. Regarding paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 37. Regarding paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the paragraph does not appear to contain allegations that require a response from Defendant or that relate to the allegations against Defendant. Further, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 38. Regarding paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the paragraph does not appear to contain allegations that require a response from Defendant or that relate to the allegations against Defendant. Further, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 39. Regarding paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 40. Regarding paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the majority of paragraph does not appear to contain allegations that require a response from Defendant or that relate to the allegations against Defendant. Further, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies all of the allegations.

	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

2

3

4

41.	Regarding paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
contained the	rein in their entirety.

V. <u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u>

Avoidance and Recovery of Constructive Fraudulent Transfers
[11 U.S.C. §§ 544, 550, 551; Cal. Civ. Code §§ 3439.04, 3439.07, 3439.07, 3439.08, 3439.09]

- 42. Regarding paragraph 42 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant incorporates by reference his response to Paragraphs 1 through 41, inclusive, of this Answer as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Regarding paragraph 43 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 44. Regarding paragraph 44 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 45. Regarding paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 46. Regarding paragraph 46 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.

VI. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Preservation of Avoided Transfers

[11 U.S.C. § 551]

- 47. Regarding paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant incorporates by reference his response to Paragraphs 1 through 46, inclusive, of this Answer as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Regarding paragraph 48 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies receiving avoidable transfers of the Debtor's property. As to the balance of the allegations, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the allegations.

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

28

1	49. Regarding paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's Complaint, the paragraph does not appear to
2	contain allegations that require a response from Defendant or that relate to the allegations against
3	Defendant. To the extent any response is required, Defendant denies the allegations contained
4	therein.
5	VII. <u>FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u>
6	Disallowance of Claims by Defendants Lander, Stiffelman and Stuart Rubin
7	[11 U.S.C. § 502(d)]
8	50. Regarding paragraph 50 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant incorporates by
9	reference his response to Paragraphs 1 through 49, inclusive, of this Answer as if fully set forth
10	herein.
11	51. Regarding paragraph 51 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
12	contained therein in their entirety.
13	52. Regarding paragraph 52 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
14	contained therein in their entirety.
15	53. Regarding paragraph 53 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
16	contained therein in their entirety.
17	54. Regarding paragraph 54 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
18	contained therein in their entirety.
19	VIII. <u>FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u>
20	Unjust Enrichment
21	[11 U.S.C. § 105]
22	55. Regarding paragraph 55 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant incorporates by
23	reference his response to Paragraphs 1 through 54, inclusive, of this Answer as if fully set forth
24	herein.
25	56. In response to Paragraph 56 of the Complaint, the Trustee has represented that this
26	Fifth Claim for Relief for Unjust Enrichment was inadvertently included in his Complaint.
27	Defendant is informed and believes that the Fifth Claim for Relief for Unjust Enrichment has
28	been withdrawn or dismissed as to all Defendants. (Adv. Docket No. 11 at 10:8-11; see also Adv.

Bankruptcy Code sections 546, 548, and 550 and California Civil Code section 3439.09.

27

26

28

///

///

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

- 9 -

2:23-ap-01080-BB

Case 2:23-ap-01080-BB	Doc 59	Filed 10	13/23	Entered 10/13/23 18:46:13	Desc
				10 of 13	

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Reasonably Equivalent Value)

3. As a Third, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Plaintiff is barred from relief because, and to the extent that, all transfers and obligations referenced in the Complaint were given in exchange for reasonably equivalent value, and the Plaintiff cannot meet its burden of proof that there was no reasonably equivalent value given in exchange for such transfers or obligations.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(For Value and in Good Faith)

4. As a Fourth, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Plaintiff is barred from relief for the transfers or obligations alleged to have been made or received by Defendant because any such transfers or obligations were taken for value and in good faith, and without knowledge of their voidability, and Defendant is also entitled to a lien or may retain any interest transferred.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Indirect Benefit to Debtor)

5. As a Fifth, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Plaintiff is barred from recovery against Defendant for the transfers alleged in the Complaint because any such transfers were given in exchange for reasonably equivalent value that came from parties other than the Defendant, which provided indirect and direct benefits to the debtor.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Insolvency)

6. As a Sixth, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Plaintiff is barred from relief because, at all relevant times of the transfers and obligations alleged in the Complaint, debtor was not insolvent, and Plaintiff cannot meet its burden of proof with respect to the debtor's insolvency at the time of such transfers.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

7. As a Seventh, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that the Plaintiff is barred from any recovery or relief against the Defendant because of Plaintiff's unclean hands,

KUTAK ROCK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 10 - 2:23-ap-01080-BB

Case	e 2:23-ap-01080-BB Doc 59 Filed 10/13/23 Entered 10/13/23 18:46:13 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 13			
1	which relates directly to the transactions that are the subject of Plaintiff's claims.			
2	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
3	(Laches)			
4	8. As an Eighth, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Plaintiff is barred from relief under			
5	the doctrine of laches.			
6	NINETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
7	(Equitable Estoppel)			
8	9. As a Ninth, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff is			
9	barred from relief under the doctrine of equitable estoppel because and to the extent of Plaintiff's			
10	inequitable conduct to the detriment of the Defendant.			
11	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
12	(No Damages)			
13	10. As a Tenth, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Plaintiff is barred from relief because			
14	it does not have a valid claim against the bankruptcy estate, and it cannot establish damages			
15	sustained by the actions of the Defendant.			
16	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
17	(11 U.S.C. § 502(h))			
18	11. As an Eleventh, Separate and Affirmative Defense, to the extent applicable,			
19	Defendant is entitled to a claim against the debtor and its estate, to the extent he is required to return			
20	an avoidable transfer(s) or his claims are disallowed, in the amount of said transfer(s) and/or the			
21	consideration provided.			
22	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
23	(Setoff/Offset/Recoupment)			
24	12. As a Twelfth, Separate and Affirmative Defense, Plaintiff's claims are subject to			
25	Defendant's right of setoff, recoupment, and/or rights under other similar doctrines. Defendant			
26	asserts as an offset against any amounts allegedly due to Plaintiff and from the claims Defendant			
27	holds against Plaintiff arising from its wrongful acts and liability. Defendant further alleges that he			
28	is exonerated from the indebtedness or obligations alleged in the Complaint, as the Defendant is			
LP w	- 11 - 2:23-ap-01080-BB			

DEFENDANT ABRAHAM STUART RUBIN'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: KUTAK ROCK LLP, 777 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 4550, Los Angeles, CA 90017-5800

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify):

DEFENDANT ABRAHAM STUART RUBIN'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

- 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On October 13, 2023, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:
 - Jennifer Andrews jennifer.andrews@kutakrock.com, steven.dailey@kutakrock.com;wendy.bonsall@kutakrock.com;jeremy.williams@kutakrock.com; irvineintake@kutakrock.com
 - Joseph Boufadel jboufadel@salvatoboufadel.com, Gsalvato@salvatoboufadel.com;gsalvato@ecf.inforuptcy.com
 - Timothy R Laquer trl@ddclaw.com, trl@ddclaw.com
 - Richard A Marshack (TR) pkraus@marshackhays.com, rmarshack@iq7technology.com;ecf.alert+Marshack@titlexi.com

 Sean A OKeefe 	rodea@shulmanbastian.com, lgauthier@s sokeefe@okeefelc.com, seanaokeefe@r ustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.g	msn.com
		Service information continued on attached page
adversary proceeding by pla postage prepaid, and addre	red the following persons and/or entities at the	last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or ed envelope in the United States mail, first class, tes a declaration that mailing to the judge will
		Service information continued on attached page
for each person or entity ser following persons and/or en such service method), by fa	L DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMIL rved): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controllitities by personal delivery, overnight mail servicesimile transmission and/or email as follows. It overnight mail to, the judge will be completed	ing LBR, on October 13, 2023, I served the ce, or (for those who consented in writing to Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration
		Service information continued on attached page
I declare under penalty of pe	erjury under the laws of the United States that	the foregoing is true and correct.
October 13, 2023 W	/endy Bonsall	/s/ Wendy Bonsall
Date I	Printed Name	Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.